

REMARKS

In the outstanding office action, claims 1-18 were presented for examination. Claims 1-18 were restricted as follows: group I (claims 1-14); and group II (claims 15-18). In response to the restriction requirement, Applicant elects with traverse group I, which corresponds to claims 1-14. In addition, Applicant submits herewith new claims 19-23, which are intended to read of the subject matter of the elected group namely, group I.

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement. There are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction: (1) the inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and (2) there must be a serious burden on the examiner. Applicant respectfully submits that searching the subject matter of group I, and the subject matter group II, as outlined in the outstanding office action, does not place a serious burden on the Examiner. Thus, applicant requests withdrawal of the requirement for restriction with regard to group II.

Moreover, it is submitted that issuing one patent on the subject matter of groups I and II as defined in the outstanding office action would be more expedient for the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the inventor and the public. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Requirement for Restriction.

If however, the restriction requirement is maintained applicants request and authorize the canceling of claims 15-18 corresponding to group II in order to avoid additional claim fees as the present amendment adds new claims 19-23.

If for any reason the Examiner feels that consultation with Applicant's attorney would be helpful in the advancement of the prosecution, he is invited to call the telephone number below for an interview.

If there are any charges due with respect to this Amendment or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account No. 06-1130.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Christopher C. Boehm  
Reg. No. 41,624

Date: October 31, 2003  
Customer No. 000128  
Telephone No. 248-524-2300